



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,803	09/09/2003	Partho Sarkar	2281-1-3	1819
996	7590	04/26/2007	EXAMINER	
GRAYBEAL, JACKSON, HALEY LLP 155 - 108TH AVENUE NE SUITE 350 BELLEVUE, WA 98004-5901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,803 Examiner Raymond Alejandro	SARKAR ET AL. Art Unit 1745

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 12 February 2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.



RAYMOND ALEJANDRO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Raymond Alejandro
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1745

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): See MPEP 1205.02. As applicant is well-aware, the summary of the claimed subject matter exceeds applicant's instant invention. For instance, applicant's Summary of Claimed Subject Matter discusses, inter-alia, "a pair of current collectors" (page 4 of the 02/12/07 appeal brief), "the cathode layer 10 being composed of magnesium doped lanthanum manganate (LaMnO₃) or a lanthanum strontium manganate (LSM)" (page 4 of the 02/12/07 appeal brief), "the electrolyte layer made of a fully dense (non porous) yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ)" (page 4 of the 02/12/07 appeal brief), "the specific target porosity of about 30 % or greater", "the sintering of the powder containing YSZ" (last paragraph on page 4 of the 02/12/07 appeal brief), "the specific impregnation process" (page 5 of the 02/12/07 appeal brief), "the operation of the fuel cell" (page 5 of the 02/12/07 appeal brief), "the hole punching method" (page 6 of the 02/12/07 appeal brief), "the specific electronically conductive materials Ag, Ag/Ni-alloy or other silver alloys, Cu, Cu alloys, Ni or Ni alloys, W, and its alloy" (page 6 of the 02/12/07 appeal brief), "the ASL serving as a current collector" (page 6 of the 02/12/07 appeal brief), "substantially free of Ni" (page 6 of the 02/12/07 appeal brief), "impregnated with Ni/Nio" (page 6 of the 02/12/07 appeal brief); "a graded composition along its thickness" (page 6 of the 02/12/07 appeal brief). Since section V is strictly related to "SUMMARY of CLAIMED Subject Matter", applicant is kindly requested to show where the present claims or independent claims 1, 12 and 13 DO RECITE each and every limitation mentioned above. In other words, applicant's SUMMARY of CLAIMED Subject matter is not commensurate in scope with the present invention as instantly claimed. Applicant is also required to map the claim limitations to paragraphs/passages/sections/columns of his specification.